EXHIBIT 1

```
1
     CATHERINE M. CORFEE SBN 155064
     ZACHARY M. BEST SBN 166035
 2
     CORFEE STONE LAW CORPORATION, PC
     P.O. Box 1098
     Carmichael, CA 95609
     Telephone: (916) 487-5441
     Facsimile: (916) 487-5440
     Email: catherine@corfeestone.com
 5
     assistant@corfeestone.com
 6
     Attorney for Defendants
     Edward and Debra Li,
     Individually and in representative capacity
 8
     Of Li Family Trust Dated March 10, 1988
 9
     JOEL WAELTY
10
     Jachimowicz Law Group
     2007 W. Hedding Street
11
     Suite 100
     San Jose, CA 95128
12
13
     Attorney for Defendant
     JIANWEI SHOU
14
15
                             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16
17
                           NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18
     SCOTT JOHNSON
                                                Case No.: 5:19-cv-08075-EJD
19
          Plaintiff,
                                                DEFENDANTS' AMENDED JOINT
20
                  V.
                                                FRCP 68
21
    EDWARD K. LI, in individual and
    representative capacity of the Li Family Trust )
22
    dated March 10, 1988; DEBRA LI, in
    individual and representative capacity of the Li)
23
    Family Trust dated March 10, 1988; JIANWEI)
24
    SHOU; and DOES 1-10,
25
26
          Defendants.
27
28
```

Defendants **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that pursuant to Rule 68 of the FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE ("FRCP"), Defendants EDWARD K. LI, in individual and representative capacity of the Li Family Trust dated March 10, 1988; DEBRA LI, in individual and representative capacity of the Li Family Trust dated March 10, 1988 and JIANWEI SHOU ("Defendants"), by and through their law firm does and hereby make an offer of judgment against Defendants' in favor of Plaintiff for a total of \$6500 for statutory damages per the California Unruh Act, plus an additional amount of reasonable attorney fees as allowed by law per the Unruh Act claim, which shall be determined by the Court.

Defendants' have voluntarily agreed to and started making the changes per the alleged ADA barriers listed in the Complaint and have retained a CASp but without admitting liability.. They do not admit that Plaintiff intended to enjoy the goods and services or will return, however, they will make ADA changes to make it more perfect per the ADA 2010 codes, and will keep and maintain said ADA elements in working and usable conditions for the disabled. They also have a written ADA Policy & Procedures Manual, which includes each Defendant having a policy to make modifications to the existing and all ADA elements at their facility, and will modify their policies and procedures to make reasonable accommodations so long as such does not fundamentally alter the goods and/or services, and as provided by law. The ADA compliance will be and/or is as follows:

- 1. Accessible path of travel from the parking to the entrance
- 2. Accessible path of travel from the public right away to the facility.
- 3. Compliant counter and restrooms per the ADA and Title 24 as to the counter.
- 4. Defendants have the ADA policy manuals to address the duty to maintain the ADA features it has and to make modifications to their policies to reasonably accommodate a disabled's request for access.

er.
è

Dated:	
	Scott Johnson, Plaintiff